

William Manchester's February 5 letter, "No Evidence for a Conspiracy to Kill [President] Kennedy" is a remarkable self indictment. ^{His} It is magnified by his arrogance, self-importance, pretense of omniscience, misrepresentation and, tragically, stupidity.

Quite aside from whether or not Manchester, with exclusive access to Warren Commission hearings, records, members and staff and his private office in the National Archives, did any real investigating for his commissioned "Death of a President" - and he didn't - he did a sophisticated Jim Bishop-job - literally hundreds of thousands of FBI and other records have since become available as a result of ~~my~~ many FOIA lawsuits and those of others. I alone have about a quarter of a million pages in which Manchester has had no interest at all.

Yet from his private Olympus he pontificates that "there is no evidence ~~at all~~ whatsoever that there was " a conspiracy.

The Warren Commission records overflow with evidence of a conspiracy but Manchester, like the Commission and the executive agencies, merely ignored it.

The simplest and most comprehensible is that when the Commission got the world's best riflemen from the National Rifle Association, all rated "masters," under greatly improved condition and after the junky rifle was overhauled, not a single one could duplicate what the Commission and Manchester attribute to Oswald.

Contrary to Manchester's representation, the Marines evaluated Oswald as a "rather poor shot."

Yet Manchester says, "I ~~needed~~ no authority to assess Oswald's marksmanship."

He knows better than the Marines, too!

In his boasting Manchester does not list the three members of the Warren Commission who disagreed with the most basic conclusion of the Warren Commission, Alvin Spector's impossible creation of the single-bullet theory without which all the known shooting could not possibly have been attributed to any one assassin. Senator's Richard B. Russell and John Sherman Cooper disagreed strongly, Representative Hale Boggs less strongly.

If Manchester had not kept himself so ignorant of the published factual information on the JFK assassination he would have known that Senator Russell encouraged my continuing efforts to disprove the Warren Report in detail until his dying day.

That he saw eye-to-eye with me on the theory, not fact, on which the Report is based is reflected in the enclosed memo to him from his legislative assistant.

Russell told me that he told Warren to "just put a little~~ol'~~ footnote in saying Senator Russell dissents." ~~He~~ He also told me Warren insisted on unanimity and that they worked out a compromise. When the Report was published the supposed compromise still endorsed the single-bullet theory.

Russell had forced an executive session held September 18. He then stated his position for the record. Only he had been deceived into believing that a ⁴court reporter was present. None was. It was a Commission stenographer. When ~~with great~~ ¹~~idex~~ difficulty I got a transcript, it was a fake. The first page was made to look like a transcript but the ^{rest} ~~rest~~ was irrelevant paraphrase. (Whitewash IV, 131-2, facsimile)

Oliver Stone ~~ix~~ produced an exciting but very bad movie. He announced that he would be recording their history for the people, telling them who killed their President, why and how - non-fiction - based on Jim Garrison's book. After he got my February 8, 1991 letter informing him of first-person knowledge that Garrison's book was worse than a mere rewriting of his own fiasco, Stone began shooting in two months without any basic change in the script. From time to time he ~~presented~~ ¹ he was not doing a movie true to history but in fact he never stopped representing it was factual. So, he knowingly misled and deceived the people for his own purposes.

Criticizing Stone's disinformational movie does not require defending the Warren report, ¹any⁶ ~~any~~ Lewis', William Manchester's and Dan Schorr's ineffective method.

It is past time for intellectuals to recognize Lyndon Johnson's political savvy in his selection of the members of his commission, unprecedented in our history, I believe. ¹He had five of the seven members of the ^{Republican} minority party and ^{neither} ¹of the two Democrats was a JFK follower.

Appointing Warren chairman immobilized his partisans, then and since.

Whether there was no conspiracy is no more proven by theorizing than whether there was. The Commission's own evidence proves redundantly that there was a conspiracy only the major media ignored this contemporaneous ^{by} and continues to ignore it, eschewing fact and evidence in favor of pontifications and theories.

The nation should be able to expect better of the major media as it should of the Oliver Stones.